

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LOCAL 2507, UNIFORMED EMTs,  
PARAMEDICS & FIRE INSPECTORS,  
Individually and on behalf of its current and former  
members; LOCAL 3621, EMS OFFICERS  
UNION, Individually and on behalf of its current  
and former members; NYC EMS SUPERIOR  
OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, Individually and on  
behalf of its current and former members; TONYA  
BOYD, CHRISTELL CADET, MARK  
CARRASQUILLO, LIZETTE CLARO,  
BEVERLY COBB, ALI COUTARD, SENCIA  
DATILUS, LAITRICE EDWARDS, ALICIA  
ELKADI, RONALD FLOYD, KAHLIA  
GRAHAM, RICHARD GUZMAN, MAGGIE  
HOPE, JASMIN HOWARD, ANGELA JONES,  
RAVIVARMAN KAILAYANATHAN, MELANIE  
MORENOKETCHUM, JENELLE PIERRE,  
SIMONE QUASHIE, JASON SAFFON,  
ALLISON SHAUGHNESSY, LAURA TORRES,  
ANDRE VALDEZ, LANCE WINFIELD,  
RONALD WOLFE, MARYLOU AURRICHIO on  
behalf of themselves and all other similarly-situated  
individuals,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

CITY OF NEW YORK on behalf of the Fire  
Department of the City of New York,

Defendant.

DARRYL CHALMERS,  
DARREN CONNORS,  
GLENN MENDEZ,  
JAMES NOVA, and  
FATIMA Q. ROSEMOND,

On behalf of themselves and all others similarly  
situated, and

AFSCME DISTRICT COUNCIL 37  
LOCAL 2507, on behalf of its members

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 1/11/2023

22 Civ. 10336 (AT)

20 Civ. 3389 (AT)

**ORDER**

Plaintiffs,  v.  CITY OF NEW YORK,  Defendant.
--

ANALISA TORRES, District Judge:


The Court has reviewed the Defendant's objection to the statement of relatedness, Objection, 22 Civ. 10336, ECF No. 19; 20 Civ. 3389, ECF No. 103, Defendant's request for an extension of time to file an answer to the complaint and a proposed case management plan, Def. Mot., 22 Civ. 10336, ECF No. 20, and the *Local 2507* Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's objection to the statement of relatedness, Pl. Reply, 22 Civ. 10336, ECF No. 21.

Defendant asks the Court to rescind its decision to mark the above captioned cases as related and return *Local 2507* to the Clerk's Office for random assignment to another judge. Objection at 3. Defendant also requests a 60-day extension of time to respond to the complaint in *Local 2507* and a 60-day extension of time to file a joint proposed case management plan. Def. Mot. at 1. The *Local 2507* Plaintiffs consent to the extension of time to respond to the complaint, but do not consent to the extension of time to file a joint proposed case management plan. Pl. Reply at 3. Accordingly:

- Defendant's request for the Court to rescind its decision to mark the above captioned cases as related is DENIED.
- Defendant's request for an extension of time to file an answer to the complaint in *Local 2507* is GRANTED. By **March 11, 2023**, Defendant shall respond to the complaint.
- Defendant's request for an extension of time to file the joint proposed case management plan in *Local 2507* is DENIED. By **February 7, 2023**, the parties shall file their joint letter and proposed case management plan.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 11, 2023  
New York, New York

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ANALISA TORRES  
United States District Judge